UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
AT CHARLESTON
Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327
MDL 2327

JOSEPH R. GOODWIN
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION
Ana Ruebel v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Civil Case No. 2:12cv00663
Harriet Beach v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Civil Case No. 2:12cv00476
Carol Jean Dimock v. Ethicon, Inc. et al. Civil Case No. 2:12cv00401

- - -

MARCH 10, 2016

- - -

Video deposition of Robert Shull, M.D., held at Beck Redden, LLP, 515 Congress, Suite 1900, Austin, Texas 78701, commencing at 9:54 a.m., digitally recorded at the date and time aforesaid and transcribed by Danielle Coleman, Court Reporter.

- - -

GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
877.370.3377 ph|917.591.5672 fax
deps@golkow.com

APPEARANCES:
Counsel for the Plaintiff:
DOUGLAS B. CANNON, ESQUIRE
Fabian VanCott, P.A.
215 South State Street, Suite 1200
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
(801) 323-2227
dcannon@fabianvancott

Counsel for Ethicon, Inc., and Johnson & Johnson:

TRACY J. VAN STEENBURGH, ESQUIRE
Nilan Johnson Lewis, P.A.
120 South 6th Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(612) 305-7521
tvan@nilanjohnson.com

Also present:
PETER ZIERLIEN, VIDEOGRAPHER

- - -

I N D E X

- - -

- - -

E X H I B I T S

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record. My name is Peter Zierlien. I'm a videographer for Golkow Technologies. Today's date is March 10th, 2016. The time is 9:54 a.m. This video deposition is being held in Austin, Texas, in the matter of Carol Jean Dimock versus Ethicon, Inc., for the United states District Court, Southern District of West Virginia at Charleston. The deponent is Dr. Robert Shull.

Will counsel please identify yourselves for the record?

MR. CANNON: Doug Cannon appearing on behalf of the plaintiff.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Tracy Van Steenburgh on behalf of the defendants.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will you raise your right hand, sir? Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you give here today will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

ROBERT SHULL, called as a witness by the Defendants, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. VAN STEENBURGH:

Q. Good morning, Dr. Shull.

A. Good morning.

Q. We met off the record, but I'll introduce myself again. I'm Tracy Van Steenburgh and I represent the defendants in a lawsuit brought by Carol Jean Dimock, and we are here today to ask you some questions about your expert opinion relative to Ms. Dimock's case. You've had your deposition taken before, I take it?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. I will not go through all of the rigmarole in terms of what we're going to be doing and the logistics of it, then. The only thing that I do like to say is I fancy myself as being somewhat articulate, but if I am not and you don't understand a question that I've asked, please ask me to rephrase it, ask it in a different way; otherwise, I'll just assume you understood the question when you answer it. Fair enough?

A. Yes.

(Exhibit No. 1 was marked for identification.)

Q. All right. Doctor, I'm showing you what's been marked as Deposition Exhibit 1. I'll represent to you that that is a notice of taking deposition in

connection with the Dimock case along with a couple of other cases you're going to sit for today, and as part of the notice of taking deposition, you were asked to some bring some materials with me -- with you. Did you bring some today?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Okay. And what did you bring with you as materials?

A. I have a current copy of my curriculum vitae.

Q. Okay.

A. I have the notice that you have referred to previously.

Q. Thank you.

A. I have the schedule of time I've spent in preparation and the billing for the preparation. I have the records, which are adjacent in a file box which you can see at the end of the table. I have the case-specific opinion from Dr. Shoemaker and the medical evaluation when Dr. Shoemaker examined Mrs. Dimock, and I have a chronology of records relating to her care, which was provided to me by Mr. Cannon's law firm to summarize the chronology of events. I have a copy of the office visit Ms. Dimock made when she saw me on the 25th of November, 2015.

Q. With respect to the medical records that you brought with you today, I noticed that some of them were tabbed. Was there a rhyme or reason for the tabs that you attached to the medical --

A. Only because the volume of records was so great that it is impossible to get back to something meaningful without having some type of a marker.

Q. With respect to the chronology that was provided to you by Mr. Cannon's office, if you wouldn't mind letting me take a look at that real quick.

A. (Witness complies.)

Q. Thank you. And it looks like this is a chronology that has a date, the name of the provider, the occurrence or treatment, and then a reference to certain Bates numbers. This was prepared by counsel and provided to you; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And the -- some of the entries are highlighted in yellow. Was that done before you got the chronology?

A. You know, it's possible some of them were. They are also notes of mine in when I was reading them, so I highlighted some things and have some handwritten notes in the margins.

Q. And that's what I was going to ask, there was some handwritten notes here, and I take it those are your handwritten notes, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And then with respect to you had mentioned that you had made some notes at or about the time that you visited with and examined Ms. Dimock, and that is contained in a transcribed set of progress notes; is that right?

A. It is, and that has my own markings, because I highlighted and excerpted from that for the final report. I didn't include everything that I had dictated and I wanted to highlight the areas I wanted to include.

Q. Okay. And just so I am clear, you examined Ms. Dimock in Texas?

A. Yes.

Q. All right.

A. She came to my office in November of 2015.

Q. And your -- and you followed your normal procedure, which was to conduct an examination and do a dictation of your -- the history and the findings at or about that same time?

A. On the same day.

Q. On the same day. Okay. And then those are

transcribed by somebody else and put into a final report?

A. Yes. They are dictated and another person transcribes them and then I validate them.

Q. Okay. In addition to the chronology and your notes, I also note there are some documents in the notebook that look like there maybe is an operative report, and that contains some of the notes that you --

A. Yes.

Q. -- had as well?

A. Yes.

Q. Is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. And that appears to be the operative report from the date of implant by Dr. Housel; is that right?

A. I think there are several operative reports --

Q. Okay.

A. -- because she's had several procedures.

Q. All right. And you prepared an expert report in connection with Ms. Dimock's case, correct?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. All right. And what is the date of that report, if you don't mind, if you know?

A. It would be -- I don't know the exact date.

It would be the last week of January of 2016, and it's possible that I -- that Mr. Cannon's office has the date which it was received. It was prior to February the 1st, and it would have been one of those last few days of January, 25th or 6th possibly. I don't remember that for certain.

Q. And I don't know if this may help you, you gave me a copy of your billing statement, and it looks like you had prepared a draft and that you may have had a final call with Mr. Cannon on or about the 26th of January?

A. That's correct.

Q. So using that as the date, when were you first asked to get involved in connection with the claims by Ms. Dimock?

A. I believe the first correspondence I had with Mr. Cannon's office was in the fall of 2015. I don't remember the exact month. I don't have that letter with me.

Q. Okay. And that was a direct contact from Mr. Cannon's office to you, or is it through somebody else?

A. It was through his paralegal originally.

Q. Okay.

A. And then he and I corresponded. I emailed him and/or called him to get information to decide if this was something that fell into an area I had an interest in and knowledge enough to be of assistance.

Q. And what kinds of -- I mean, did you ask him for materials in order to review it to assess that?

A. No. I asked him mainly about the patient herself, what the possible concerns are, and what were his expectations. Did he simply want me to review the record and contact him? Did he want me to write a case-specific report, simply to see her in consultation? I wasn't clear in the beginning what he was asking me to do.

Q. Okay. And I noticed in some of the materials that you brought with you there are some handwritten notes. I'll strike that. It looks like these are from something else.

What was it that Mr. Cannon asked you to do in connection with the Dimock case?

A. Eventually, what he asked me to do, would I see her for a medical evaluation, would I evaluate her prior records, her current exam, and be willing to write a case-specific report about her, I guess, fact sheet would be the right word. I'm not sure I saw it at the

time, what was contained in the fact sheet about her injuries associated with the product that had been implanted in her.

Q. And prior to that, you and Mr. Cannon had talked about her case, and that was so that you could assess whether this was something within your area of expertise?

A. Yes. And to find out what he actually expected someone to do, because I -- did it fit in a calendar that I could do it? Was it something I was knowledgeable about?

Q. And what was the nature of the scope of the engagement, besides seeing her for evaluation, evaluating her records; more specifically, were you asked to provide some kind of opinion as to whether there was a cause-and-effect relationship between the implant and her injuries?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, were you asked as part of the scope of your engagement to determine whether -- well, let me strike that.

In your review, Ms. Dimock received a Prolift device as part of her procedure that Dr. Housel performed back in 2008, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. And Dr. Housel also implanted something called a TVTO vaginal sling, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Were you asked to provide an opinion as to whether the Prolift device caused the injuries that Ms. Dimock claims she now suffers?

A. I was.

Q. Okay. Were you asked to provide an opinion as to whether the TVTO device caused any of the injuries that Ms. Dimock claims she has suffered?

A. He asked if I was interested in that. I told him I was not likely to be prepared to do that on the TVT.

Q. All right. And why is that?

A. Because, one, we have a long record of knowledge about TVT. We are reasonably familiar with its success, with the side effects, and potential complications. It's accepted as a method of treatment for urinary incontinence. I, in fact -- I don't use a TVTO. I do use a retropubic TVT, so I feel as if I'm knowledgeable about that.

Prolift has a much shorter history with less objective information about its indications,

complications, and potential problems, and the truth is that some of her physical findings could only be related to the Prolift because of the areas in the vaginal canal where the exposure is identified.

Q. So just so that we are clear, you are not here today and have not prepared any report or are prepared to give an opinion as to whether the TVTO in any way caused any injury to Ms. Dimock; is that right?

A. That's accurate.

Q. Okay. Did you look at the records relative to the implantation of the TVTO and her subsequent medical treatment?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Okay. And do you believe that the TVTO was effective for her stress urinary incontinence?

A. To the best of my knowledge, it was helpful for her stress urinary incontinence. She still had urinary complaints. Probably not stress incontinence but symptoms compatible with urgency incontinence or overactive bladder.

Q. Okay. And urinary incontinence and overactive bladder are usually not treated with a device such as a TVTO; is that correct?

A. That wouldn't be a standard method of therapy

for those complaints.

Q. Okay. And are you here today to provide any opinions relative to any urinary incontinence issues that Ms. Dimock might be currently experiencing?

A. Well, if you ask me questions about her symptoms, if I can answer them appropriately, I will.

Q. Okay. And the question, I guess, more specifically is have you been asked to provide any opinions relative to whether Prolift has caused any of the issues that Ms. Dimock currently experiences with urinary incontinence?

A. Not with her current complaints. When I looked at the records, there were some concerns possibly related to urethral obstruction associated with the Prolift and Dr. Norton's preoperative and intraoperative notes indicate some of that, so I feel comfortable answering questions about that if you ask about them.

Q. Okay. And with respect to Dr. Norton's findings in her notes, there was never any finding of any kind of urethral obstruction with Ms. Dimock; is that right?

A. There was no objective evidence that she could not empty her bladder effectively, for example.

Q. Okay. There are references in her record to

some experience with urinary retention. Do you remember seeing that?

A. Yes. What I don't remember, and maybe you have that, but I don't remember seeing it, the documentation of a continued what are called postvoid residual volumes, elevated postvoid residual volumes. There may be something. I don't recall that off the top of my head.

Q. Okay. Well, the reason I ask is there are some references in there to some of the medications that she was taking that one of the side effects is urinary retention. I don't recall if you saw that or not?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. She was taking some antispasmodics, and some antispasmodics relax the bladder, and one of the potential side effects is it may either take longer to void or you may have incomplete voiding.

Q. Okay. Doctor, your report that you prepared in connection with Ms. Dimock's case is based in part on your review of her medical records, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And is it also based in part on your medical examination of Ms. Dimock?

A. Yes.

Q. And then it's also based in part on your clinical experience?

A. Yes.

Q. And also your review of the literature?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Did you review Ms. Dimock's medical records prior to performing a medical examination of Ms. Dimock?

A. I had access to some of her records that had been given to me in advance, not the entire record, and even if I had received all of those records, it would have been impossible to review them before I saw her. And then I spoke to her directly before examining her about her own knowledge of the history of her obstetrical history, surgical history, and her current complaints.

Q. And I guess more specifically, when you said you had received some records, do you recall, did you in fact review some of her medical records prior to meeting with her?

A. I cannot tell you for certain which ones, but I believe that I had access to her operative note from 2008 and to at least some, if not all, of her explant

procedures following that.

Q. Okay. And those would have been procedures primarily performed by Dr. Norton?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. Normally, I would like to have those when someone is being seen for evaluation after having had prior surgery. It's helpful to see the operative notes because in general they are more informative than the history is.

Q. With respect to the medical records, how did you decide which medical records to reference in your report?

A. What I wanted to do was understand something about her history before she had surgical management in Utah. So there were some things in her record that I could see, but that came in part from simply interviewing her about her past surgical history when she was living in California. And then in the remainder of the records what happened now is when you, for example, request a record or someone sends me a record, it would be an exhaustive copying of every conceivable thing, including in-hospital stays, a lot of nursing documentation, which is appropriate for nurses to know

about. It isn't necessarily something that would be helpful in understanding the details of how the patient feels or about the surgical procedure. So what I've tried to do is find the parts of the record that actually involve a face-to-face encounter with one of the health-care providers, either in the outpatient clinic or in the operating room or the recovery period, as opposed to documentation for all of the other things.

Also with electronic medical records, many things are repeated over and over and over again. I'm sure you've seen that, so once you've read that particular entry one time, it normally isn't necessary to read it on every other time that it's repeated in the medical record.

Q. Now, your report includes a summary of certain medical records and also includes a -- your summary of your examination of Ms. Dimock, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. So let's talk a little bit about the format. I'd like to talk about the examination and when she came down to meet with you.

A. Yes.

Q. That was in November of 2015, correct?

A. November the 25th, 2015.

Q. Okay. And where did the examination take place?

A. In my office in Temple, Texas.

Q. Okay. And was anyone else present?

A. No, not with her. She came by herself, and I inquired when she came if I were free to share her information with Mr. Cannon, and she gave me permission to do that. He wasn't there, but she was comfortable letting me either copy him the records or -- I can't remember if I spoke to him, quite honestly, but he had access to the information in the record.

Q. Prior to the examination, did you talk with any of Ms. Dimock's physicians?

A. No. And I haven't since.

Q. Okay.

A. I haven't spoken to anyone else about it.

Q. And the same question as to any of her family members?

A. I haven't met anyone. I think she is single and she has one child whom I've never met and never spoken to.

Q. All right. How long did the examination take?

A. Her visit, I would say it was probably 45 minutes to an hour from the time I saw her, interviewed

her, examined her, then she would get dressed and come back to my office, and we sat down to discuss her history, the physical exam, answer her questions, and I tried to give her as much information as I had about what I thought I saw and felt and what some options for her would be regarding management of her pain complaints primarily.

Q. And the recommendations or what you thought about how to manage her pain complaints, are those contained in your report?

A. Yes, they are. And they're --

Q. Was there anything else you shared with her that is not in your report with respect to recommendations on treatment?

A. No. And my expert report has a condensed version of my entire outpatient recording, but the entire outpatient encounter is in the records that I have here.

Q. And just so that I am clear, the examination you said was 45 minutes to an hour, and then afterwards she came to your office and spent more time --

A. That was --

Q. -- or the whole --

A. -- the entire visit.

Q. The entire visit --

A. Yes.

Q. -- was between 45 minutes and an hour?

A. Right.

Q. Okay. And with respect to the history that you took from Ms. Dimock, did that occur at the beginning, before the physical examination, or afterwards?

A. It's before.

Q. Okay.

A. So normally, what I would do is introduce myself to someone, particularly someone I've never met previously, discuss the history in as much detail as possible. Then after I've obtained the history, then the patients prepare for -- I leave the room. The patients prepare for an exam. I come back and examine her. After the exam, she then gets dressed and after she's dressed and comfortable, then she would come to the office and we would discuss it.

Q. Very good. Thank you. And just so I'm clear on logistics. During the time that you are taking the history and doing the examination, are you contemporaneously dictating?

A. No.

Q. Okay.

A. What happens now with electronic medical records, you can enter all of those things while you're interviewing the patient. I don't do that. I interview them, discuss everything with them, and when they leave, then I document the electronic records and dictate the encounter.

Q. If -- do you have a copy of your report?

A. Yes. Of the expert report?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes, I do.

Q. There is a section in the ex report that starts at Page 8 where it indicates you personally interviewed and examined Ms. Dimock on November 25, 2015. If you would turn to that.

A. I see it.

Q. Okay. I want to make sure that I understand the format. It looks as though you took the history and have recorded the history that goes through Page 10 to the bottom, where then you describe the examination; am I correct on that?

A. It looks as if that's the case.

Q. Okay. Did you prepare this report yourself?

A. Yes.

Q. And then on Page 11, there is a section that starts in the middle of the page saying "Diagnosis"?

A. Yes.

Q. And that would be a diagnosis that you -- was based on your taking the history and your examination and evaluation; is that right?

A. Yes. That's still a continuation of her personal visit with me on the 25th of November.

Q. Okay. And then on Page 12, starting at the top, it looks to be the area where you start providing information regarding your differential diagnosis and your opinions relative to Ms. Dimock's injuries; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. I'd like to ask you a few questions relative to the history, so that I understand what you were asking and what Ms. Dimock was telling you, and this is kind of a question-and-answer kind of format; is that right?

A. Sure.

Q. I mean, not here, but when you were talking to Ms. Dimock?

A. Yes.

Q. Yes. All right.

A. That's correct.

Q. And were you restricted in any way from asking her any questions that --

A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

Q. Okay. So on Page 8, it refers to the fact that she had a hysterectomy and an oophorectomy in the mid-'90s. Do you recall whether that was a total hysterectomy or a laparoscopic hysterectomy?

A. I don't know the answer to that.

Q. Okay. Would that make a difference to you in terms of any of your opinions in the case?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Now, it also looks on Page 9 that she said she'd never had any symptoms of fibromyalgia, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. And was it that -- she reported she'd had no history of chronic pain prior to having the mesh surgery; is that right?

A. That's accurate.

Q. Okay. So how do you define chronic pain --

A. I would --

Q. -- as you have written it here?

A. In the history?

Q. Right.

A. Well, I would ask a patient very specifically, "Do you have a history of fibromyalgia, yes or no? Do you have a history of migraine headaches, chronic joint disease, rheumatoid arthritis, lupus, anything that could be associated with chronic pain?"

Q. Okay.

A. And her response to that was she had no history of any of those things prior to her surgery, and, in fact, I'm not sure she even has a current history of any of them, to be quite honest.

Q. Okay. In taking her history, did you talk with her about any history of previous pelvic pain that she had experienced?

A. In the past, I talked to her about hysterectomy. It's not uncommon when a woman has a hysterectomy that among whatever the reasons are, pelvic pain may be one of the reasons, it may be associated with menstruation, with ovulation, with poor support. So it's reasonably common in a woman who has had a hysterectomy that one of the complaints would have been painful menstruation or painful ovulation.

Q. Did Ms. Dimock tell you that she had been treated for pelvic pain syndrome in the past?

A. I'm not aware that she had chronic treatment for that. She may have had -- sometimes when she developed abdominal or pelvic pain, it was seen intermittently, but I'm not aware that was a chronic issue with her.

Q. Okay. And just so you and I are on the same page, when you say not a chronic issue, how would you define that, that it's an ongoing -- well, you define it for me?

A. Well, it'd be -- usually chronic means lasting six months or longer, or is recurrent, maybe intermittent over a period of years with the same signs and symptoms.

Q. So to the best of her recollection in response to your -- well, strike that.

Based upon the history that she provided to you, you did not understand that she had had chronic pelvic pain in the past, prior to the mesh surgery; is that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay. In the history, it looks like she told you that prior to her surgery in 2008, she had engaged in a relationship where she had had sex on a regular basis, is that right, and never had -- sorry -- and not

had complaints associated with sexual intercourse; is that right?

A. Not -- that's accurate.

Q. Okay. And she told you that for about a year prior to the surgery, which was in 2008, she had not had any sexual intercourse; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Is it your understanding based on talking with her that from the mesh surgery to the time that you examined her, she had not engaged in sexual intercourse?

A. That's correct.

Q. Now, in the history, it says approximately three to six months before seeing Dr. Norton in 2010 she developed complaints with what she calls pulling, ripping, and a sense of stabbing pain in the pelvis. There's a reference to her working with a bicycle pump?

A. I presume what she was doing is blowing up a tire or bicycle tire or a ball or something that required you -- if she had used one the way you or I probably would, she would be moving up and down with her hands to pump something.

Q. Okay. Did you talk with her any more specifically than the fact that she had been working with a bicycle pump at the time?

A. No.

Q. All right. But was it your impression based on what she told you that she recalls the onset of the pain based upon that activity that she was engaged in in using the bicycle pump; is that right?

A. She at least -- she mentioned that.

Q. Okay.

A. It's not uncommon sometimes for people to date an important medical activity to something.

Q. Absolutely. And then she also told you it was approximately three to six months before she saw Dr. Norton in 2010 that she began to develop the complaints of pulling and ripping and that stabbing pain?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Did you see in the records that she told Dr. Norton that it had been that way since the mesh surgery itself?

MR. CANNON: I'll just object. I think it mischaracterizes the record.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: All right.

A. I think I would have to see that note.

Q. Okay.

A. I mean, I can't remember that right off the

top of my head, but I'd be glad to look at the reference if you would like me to do that.

Q. We'll -- I'll bring those out in a bit. On Page 10, Doctor, it looks like you are indicating that at your examination there were no signs of any vaginal bleeding, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. And there was a reference to her having used estrogen intermittently following her surgery in 2008. Was that something that she reported to you or something you gathered from the records?

A. Well, it's -- it became clear in the interview I had with her that she had used estrogen part of the time. She also later developed breast cancer, and I think she stopped her estrogen temporarily at least during the time she had the diagnosis in early management of her breast cancer.

It isn't uncommon for me to see people who may be on any number of medical regiments where their persistence in taking something on a regular basis waxes and wanes.

Q. And my question merely was the extent to which you talked with her about what her estrogen history had been. Do you have any more detail other than she had

used it intermittently following the surgery?

A. Not in this note, I don't. There are -- there's information in the various outpatient visits she had with Dr. Sharp, with I think Dr. Summers was the doctor treating her infections, so there are multiple comments in Drs. Norton, Sharp, and Summers' note regarding advice to use estrogen and whether she was currently using it or not.

Q. Do you recall seeing in her records that from the time of her hysterectomy until approximately the time that she saw Dr. Norton, she was taking estrogen via injection?

A. I don't have that documented.

Q. Does that refresh your recollection at all, my asking that question?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Do you recall seeing anything in the records that she was taken off of estrogen and -- by Dr. Norton?

A. No.

Q. The injectable? Okay.

A. Oh, the injectable? No. She may have transitioned to the topical estrogen. I don't remember the exact comment about that, but that would be a

logical thing to do in someone in whom you want to treat specifically the vaginal tissue is ask them to use topical estrogen.

Q. Do you recall seeing anything in Ms. Dimock's records regarding any withdrawal she was having from no longer taking estrogen via injection?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Now, in the next paragraph, she indicates to you that she has no sense of any tissue bulging outside the vagina, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. And when you report that she says that she feels as if she is imploding on the inside of the vaginal canal, can you be any more specific as to how she was describing her feeling there --

A. Well --

Q. -- or is that -- are those just words that she used and you wrote them down?

A. Those are her words, which I would take to mean that's not a normal feeling and is not a pleasant feeling, and then she subsequently described pain. So I don't normally have patients use that particular word. I didn't ask her to expand on it --

Q. All right.

A. -- any more than she did.

Q. And when she said that she describes pain as to her seat bones, do you know what she was referring to when she said "her seat bones"?

A. Oh, I think she means when she was sitting down, probably on what she wouldn't know would either be her ischial tuberosities or the lower part of her sacrum or coccyx, but in fact in that area there are also muscles and other tissues which would be related to sitting and hurting.

Q. The next paragraph describes a little bit about her issues with incontinence, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And this is what she reported to you, that Dr. Norton had asked her to reduce her fluid intake because there might be a connection between her urge incontinence and the amount of fluid she was taking in?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And, again, if you drop down a couple of paragraphs, she describes the pain in her seat bones and in the back part of the vagina, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Was it your understanding that this is a constant pain that she experiences?

A. I believe she had told me. My later -- the last sentence in that paragraph said that there has not been a day or a week or a month where she's been pain-free since her surgery in 2008. That would lead me to think that she has pain on a regular basis, and it varies in intensity on a scale of zero to 10 between a four and a seven, and depending on what level it is, she may use aspirin or ibuprofen. Sometimes she would use Percocet, which has a synthetic codeine in it.

Q. So as far as you can tell, this is a constant pain as opposed to an intermittent pain that she experiences?

A. I think she has a constant level of pain and intermittently it changes.

Q. Okay. In terms of the --

A. She has a baseline pain component with intermittent changes in the intensity of the pain.

Q. All right. And her report is that she's had that constant or baseline pain since the surgery in 2008; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Now, with respect to the examination that you performed, you note that external genitalia, Bartholin, Skene's, and urethra area are

remarkable in that she has puncture sites from her prior trocar placement of the mesh products, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Did you notice anything -- she had a procedure involving the Bartholin gland. Were you aware of that?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And is one of the puncture sites related to that procedure, or are you saying that they're all related to use of the pelvic mesh product?

A. It would be highly unlikely they would have been related to Bartholin's gland, unless someone made an incision in an unusual area.

In order to deploy the mesh arms, there's a need to have puncture sites on the outside of the vulva, in what's called the perianal area. Those puncture sites sometimes heal where frankly it's quite difficult to see where the puncture was, and sometimes it's easier to see that. That could vary depending on wound healing or individual characteristics of a patient. It could in the case of early after surgery, it could be a reflection of infection in the wound site.

The areas that I saw and commented on, I didn't see any acute signs of infection, and I couldn't expel anything from these puncture sites. They simply

were there.

Q. Okay. And with respect to any of your opinions regarding Ms. Dimock's injuries, are you going to provide an opinion that her injuries were due in any part to the use and placement of a trocar for insertion of the device in this case?

A. Yes.

Q. And what's your opinion?

A. About the trocar use, you mean?

Q. Yes.

A. Trocars, in order to be used in the case of a transvaginally placed mesh, have to traverse a number of tissue planes, depending on whether the trocar goes outside to inside or inside to outside, but the trocars traverse the skin; the external skin; the underlying fatty tissue, what's called fascia; muscles; the connective tissue of the vagina; and the skin of the vagina. So in one way or the other, the trocars traverse all of those tissue layers and those tissue layers contain vessels, nerves, and areas where lymphatic drainage, for example, which you cannot see by simply looking at the skin any more than you could look at my hand and see everything underneath. It just doesn't work that way.

So the trocars are passed in an area where presumably it's safe in terms of not injuring a major artery, a major vein, or a major nerve, but when the trocars are introduced, the mesh arms then are deployed. In general, the trocars themselves have a smaller diameter than the width of the synthetic product. So the trocar creates a passageway and when the arms are deployed, the arms are not going to look the same as they do when they come out of the package, for example; and depending on where the trocars traverse, it's entirely possible that there are going to be small nerves, small vessels, possibly larger nerves or vessels that could be injured because the trocars are passed not under direct visualization but by palpation. That's the nature of using them for anything, so it's not possible to see everything.

So in the case of the Prolift, which requires multiple trocar passages, every time one is passed, there is an opportunity for something to happen that you wouldn't have anticipated, or every time an arm is deployed, there is an opportunity for that arm of the mesh product to lie adjacent to a nerve, a sensory nerve, a muscle nerve. And then because of the placement of these arms, the configuration invariably is

going to be different than it is when the arm is simply lying out and hasn't passed through any tissue. So the trocars in this particular circumstance are important, because she required multiple trocar passages in order to deploy the arms in the mesh.

Q. Well, let me ask you a question, because I heard a couple of things there. One, is it your opinion that the trocar -- the trocar is used with respect to the Prolift product itself, the trocars themselves injured Ms. Dimock? Because I heard you say something about the trocars, but also when the mesh arms were deployed, that the placement of the arms could lie adjacent to a nerve, so I wanted to make sure I understood the difference between the two of those.

A. In the case of an immediate injury from the trocar itself, what we would expect to see is the mesh is deployed into the rectum, the bladder, or the urethra. There is no evidence that the trocars actually passed into the rectum or into the bladder or into the urethra, so that would be one possibility, where the trocar itself could have created an injury. There is nothing to suggest that. The trocars could penetrate a large blood vessel and there could be hemorrhage associated with the trocar placement. There is nothing

in the record to indicate that there was an immediate hemorrhage.

The trocars could be placed so that instead of the mesh being deployed under the vaginal skin, the mesh could be deployed through the vaginal skin, and there is no evidence in the record to suggest that happened. The trocars do pass through innervated tissue with blood vessels in it in order to create a path to bring the arm back out. So in the sense that the trocars are important, they create the pathway for the arms to be deployed, and could they injure small nerves, small vessels, impede the -- by direct trauma? The answer is yes, they could.

So let's presume that there isn't any mesh product, but you simply took a group of women and took a trocar as big as basically a pencil and stuck it six times into the vaginal canal and out through the outside of the pelvis, could someone be injured from that, and the answer is yes.

In her case, she didn't have the trocar go through the bladder, urethra, or rectum. She didn't have excessive bleeding, but she in fact could have had trauma to those other structures, which could cause her to have pain. In that case, would the pain be chronic

or would it be self-limiting? In all likelihood in the absence of actually severing a larger nerve, which could happen, but there is no evidence that happened here, trauma from the trocar passage itself is more likely to have a self-limiting period where there is bruising, swelling, and tenderness, but the trocar then brings the mesh product into that same pathway, and the product is there forever. So the issue, then, is could you get the product there without the trocar, and you can't. That's why they are trocar-based.

Q. So the question I had was -- I think the answer is no, if I hear you -- that there was not any immediate injury by virtue of using the trocar itself, correct?

A. There is no evidence of that.

Q. No evidence of that. And that's a possibility, but there is no evidence in this case, correct?

A. There is no evidence.

Q. All right.

A. We would see that if someone saw the trocar in the bladder, felt it in the rectum --

Q. Right.

A. -- saw it in the urethra, saw it in the vaginal tissue. I'm talking about through the vaginal

skin. If we saw that, you could say, "Yes. The trocar was in the wrong place and it did something it shouldn't have done."

Q. And your opinion here is that the mesh itself was the cause of the pain and other injuries that Ms. Dimock suffered, not the trocars themselves, correct?

A. The trocar in and of itself isn't. The trocar -- the mesh couldn't have been deployed without the trocar.

Q. Understood.

A. So however you separate that out.

Q. Okay. Is it possible during a native tissue repair for there to be some kind of damage to any of the nerves or tissue?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Okay. With respect to your pelvic exam, I note that the vaginal canal measures approximately seven centimeters deep.

A. Yes.

Q. Is that within a normal range based upon your experience?

A. It is. You know, everything is an average, just sort of whether you're tall or short or heavy or

thin. There is an average somewhere. Not everybody is on the average. So seven centimeters for vaginal depth would probably be on the lower end of normal as opposed to a woman who has a vaginal depth that's 10 or 11 centimeters, for example; but it still would fall within a range that's considered normal.

Q. And the reason I ask that is there are some references in the record to different measurements for Ms. Dimock's vaginal canal. Do you remember seeing those in the record?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And they range some place between three centimeters and 10 centimeters, if I recall correctly?

A. Well, different parts are being measured. There is a system for measurement of specific areas of the pelvis. The acronym is POPQ, but the term is pelvic organ prolapse quantification. That system was created and published in 1966 -- 1996. I was on the committee who helped to design this objective way to measure things in the vaginal canal.

So we measure what's called the anterior compartment, the posterior compartment, the depth of the vagina, the vaginal opening, the perineal body. There are a variety of things that can be measured and

documented in a grid form. Several of her reports from Dr. Norton have that grid; others simply indicate the depth of the vaginal canal.

Q. And your measurement here was the depth of the vaginal canal; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Now, are you going to give an opinion in this case that Ms. Dimock's vaginal canal was foreshortened by virtue of the fact that she had the Prolift device?

A. I don't think I said that anywhere.

Q. I don't think you did either. I just wanted to make sure.

A. No.

Q. The answer is no?

A. No, I'm not going to do that.

Q. All right. When you did the pelvic examination, you noticed that she had atrophy. What is atrophy?

A. Atrophy in the vaginal canal is tissue that has reduced or no estrogen stimulation.

Q. And what does that do to the tissue? Does it make it thinner --

A. In general --

Q. -- if there's no estrogen? I'm sorry.

A. In general, women who have atrophy of the vaginal canal may have the tissue be thinner, less lubricated, and if you were to be able to do a microscopic examination, there is reduced blood supply to the tissue in the vaginal canal.

Q. Okay.

A. The tissue then becomes less soft or distensible.

Q. Less elastic?

A. That could be a term.

Q. Okay. Then you say she has greatly increased pelvic floor muscle tone. What does that mean?

A. That means in general when a woman has a pelvic exam, if you ask her, she can relax the muscles that -- in the vaginal canal, what are called the levator muscles. Many woman can relax that on request. People who have chronic pain frequently cannot do that because they anticipate something is going to hurt, and human nature is to withdraw, and withdrawal usually means contraction of the muscles.

Q. And you note that initially you could only do a digital exam using your index finger, but it sounds like later on she was able to relax her muscles, and

you were able to do a two fingerbreadth exam?

A. I did. And then I took the speculum, which I use. Instead of using the top and the bottom of the speculum, I was able to use the bottom part only, so I could retract the area between the rectum and the vagina and look at the top part of the vaginal canal, the area under the bladder. Then I could turn that around and look at the area between the rectum and the vagina. So she allowed me to do that, but it was only after those muscles relaxed some.

Q. Okay.

A. That's not -- that would happen with people that have pain. They have increased muscle tone.

Q. Well, and people who have a certain amount of anxiety about examinations may tighten their muscles; is that --

A. They may.

Q. -- true?

A. They may.

Q. All right.

A. And maybe because it hurts them. They may be anxious because it hurts.

Q. Well, when she was able to relax the muscles, you did an examination, and where did you find

tenderness, if at all?

A. There were several things I found on exam. One, on the anterior part of the vaginal canal, by the urethra and bladder, I could feel a small area that had a different consistency. My note indicates it was about four centimeters inside the vaginal opening. There was a well-defined area that could be scar tissue, could be a foreign body. By touch, it was not necessary -- you couldn't possibly tell for sure which one it is, but I described it as about the size of a small bean, and she was tender in that area.

Q. So when you palpated that, was it something that moved under your touch, or was it a fixed feeling?

A. It had minimal movement.

Q. Okay.

A. And then she was more tender, actually, to palpation on the opposite side of the vaginal canal near the top of the vagina on her left side.

Q. Okay.

A. And on that side, I didn't feel this, whatever that was, whether it was scarring or foreign body or induration. I didn't feel that on the left side, but she was tender.

Q. And as we sit here today, you don't know what

the small, bean-sized object consists of, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. Are you going to speculate as to what you think it might be?

A. Well, I could tell you it's likely one of several things. It could be scar tissue. It could be a small piece of mesh that's there.

Q. Okay.

A. It could be something we didn't anticipate that is not scar tissue or not a piece of a foreign body, but that's not a likely thing to happen. There is no way to know it until it's actually excised and someone looks at it.

Q. I was going to say -- right. As you sit here today, you don't know, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. And then on the rectal exam you say you noted some banding of tissue near the ischial spines on both sides.

A. Yes.

Q. When you say "banding," what do you mean?

A. That means normally when someone has a pelvic exam, including a rectal exam, there isn't any definitive three-dimensional structure that feels the

same as -- for example, I'll give you my hand as an example, So -- and my finger, index finger and thumb are like this. This tissue is very soft. If I do that, that feels entirely different. That would -- to me, that would feel like a band.

So in examining her on rectal examination, what I felt near these landmarks, all the ischial spines, are these areas that were tighter and more tense and usually you don't feel that.

Q. Okay.

A. So that's not what you would find on most people's exam. That could be scarring. That could be a foreign body. It would be most likely one of those two things.

Q. And your examination, you did not find any erosion of the mesh, correct?

A. That's accurate.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: All right. Can we go off the record for a second?

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going of the record. The time is 10:51.

(Recess was taken from 10:51 a.m. until 10:57 a.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is 10:57.

BY MS. VAN STEENBURGH:

Q. Doctor, I'd like to make sure that we are on the same page with respect to Ms. Dimock. What were the procedures that Dr. Housel or Housel performed in 2008 relative to Ms. Dimock?

A. Ms. Dimock had previously had a hysterectomy. When he saw her for evaluation, he identified cystocele, rectocele, urinary incontinence. The procedures he did basically were placement of the anterior and posterior Prolift and placement of a transobturator tension-free vaginal tape.

His note details several procedures, including cystocele repair, rectocele repair, enterocele repair, and sacrospinous ligament suspension, and paravaginal repair. My interpretation of the note would be that he identified the cystocele, rectocele, enterocele, paravaginal defect, and the repair of those was accomplished using the anterior and posterior Prolift. In addition to that, he did the transobturator tension-free tape and performed cystoscopy.

Q. So is it your interpretation of his operative note that he did not do a sacrospinous ligament suspension?

A. I do not -- let me just read that note one

more time.

Q. Sure.

A. I do not see in the operative note that he specifically exposed the sacrospinous ligament and placed any sutures in that ligament to secure the top of the vaginal canal or the graft, either one really.

Q. And so the absence of a description in his operative note is what leads you to believe he did not perform the sacrospinous ligament suspension?

A. It would lead me to believe he didn't document it if he did it.

Q. So it's possible he did perform it but did -- his documentation is less than perfect?

A. Well, I don't know that.

Q. Well, I don't know that either, but I'm -- we're trying to get to the bottom of what he really did do here.

A. He didn't include a description of the dissection to do a sacrospinous ligament fixation. Now, whether he did it or not is a different issue.

Q. All right. And he refers to -- there's a reference to a anterior and posterior colporrhaphy. That was -- that can be done with a native tissue

repair, correct?

A. Yes, it can.

Q. All right. And in this case, it's your understanding in interpreting his operative note that he used the Prolift device on the anterior and also on the posterior, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. And when there is reference in his operative report to repair of the enterocele via vaginal approach, what do you understand that to entail?

A. What he describes, an enterocele or the enterocele was identified. An enterocele is a type of hernia. It normally occurs between the tissue that should support the top of the vaginal canal and the rectum.

He says he identified an enterocele, and he plicated that with zero Ethibond purse-string suture, which would imply that he saw a hernia sac with the peritoneal surface between him and the inside of the abdominal cavity, and what he choose to do was take a nonabsorbable suture and take a circular stitch around this hernia sack. When he tied the suture, it should have obliterated the sac. And the reason he used purse-string, it would be very similar to the strings or

cords on a coin purse, for example, where someone would pull the ends of that and close the top of the purse. So his enterocele repair was using that one suture to reduce the size of the peritoneal sac that he saw.

Q. And based upon your experience, are you aware as to whether Ethibond sutures ever erode to the surface?

A. They may.

Q. Okay.

A. I use them quite regularly, actually, so I am familiar with that. In general, what happens with an Ethibond suture or anything similar that is not absorbable, you would like it to be underneath any skin incision, ideally with another layer of tissue between the skin and it, so that the skin and the soft tissue heal and the stitch is under it.

Sometimes what will happen is people will still react to that and at some time in the future, that stitch may be obvious on physical exam that it has been exposed through the skin incision.

Q. And have you been able to eliminate the possible exposure of the Ethibond suture in connection with any of the procedures that Dr. Norton--strike that.

Have you been able to eliminate erosion of the

Ethibond suture in the case of Ms. Dimock?

A. Well, it's a single stitch that he used. There is no evidence in any of the descriptions that someone saw a single suture and removed it. The Ethibond usually will have some color to it. It could be a -- it could be white. It could have a color to it, but there is no description of a specific stitch being removed, so I didn't consider that as the problem because no one said they saw it, and it wouldn't have been placed in the location where the different mesh exposures were seen. So I think that's highly unlikely.

Q. But the best person to answer that question would be Dr. Norton probably, yes?

A. Yes. Well, if you ask her personally. Her notes don't reflect that, and she has thorough notes.

Q. Understood. Now, your opinions as I understand them is that Ms. Dimock's acute and chronic pelvic pain and acute and chronic vaginal pain are the result of Prolift, correct?

A. Are you referring to a specific place in my report now?

Q. Yeah. I'm looking at Page 12, and I want to make sure I understand your opinion.

A. Okay.

Q. So I'm actually going to repeat that so that I split those two up. Are you offering an opinion in this case that Ms. Dimock suffered an onset of acute pelvic pain as a result of the Prolift?

A. Well, she -- in her history, which I obtained, she had no pre-existing history of chronic pelvic pain, fibromyalgia, or the other things we've reviewed. In my history, she says that she began to develop pain complaints following her surgery. Now, for something to be acute, she may have had an acute onset of some pain complaints, but acute implies that the pain or whatever other symptom or sign it is, if it's acute, it would occur, and then the implication is it would be resolved some way or the other. So her issue really is chronic pain.

Q. Okay.

A. Even though she may have had acute pain to begin with, the issue is it evolved into a chronic concern, which has interfered with her quality of life.

Q. All right. So just to make sure I understand. Your opinion is that Ms. Dimock has experienced chronic pelvic pain, which was caused by the anterior and posterior Prolift; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And your opinion also is that she has experienced and suffered from chronic vaginal pain caused by the anterior and posterior Prolift?

A. Well, when you say pelvic pain --

Q. Well, you have pelvic and vaginal here.

A. I understand.

Q. Okay.

A. Pelvic pain can be a variety of things. It can be muscle pain, for example, in the vaginal canal -- or in the -- not in the -- muscles not in the vagina. It could be muscles in the pelvis, vaginal -- or it could be chronic bladder pain, for example, and there's a typical history for chronic bladder pain.

It could be chronic bowel complaints. There's a history for chronic -- I don't mean she has the history. There are histories for chronic bladder pain. There are histories for chronic bowel pain, which are different than her complaints.

Then vaginal pain, most women would describe vaginal pain as a sensation that they may have either with having something placed in the vaginal canal, like a speculum or a tampon or a sex toy, or to have sexual intercourse, so vaginal pain usually implies something inside the vaginal canal, and pelvic pain is more likely

to be considered in the nerves, the connective tissue, the muscles of the pelvis. And even though she may describe her complaints as shooting pain in the vagina, I don't doubt that she has them, so that could be classified as vaginal pain or pelvic pain, either one.

Q. All right. So I just want to make sure that I was understanding what your opinions are. So one opinion that you are going to offer in this case is that she, Ms. Dimock, suffers from chronic pelvic pain caused by the anterior and posterior Prolift, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Are you also going to offer an opinion that she suffers from chronic vaginal pain caused by the anterior and posterior Prolift based on your definition of vaginal pain?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. You are also going to offer an opinion that she experienced repeated episodes of mesh exposure that were caused by the anterior and posterior Prolift, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. So let me ask you a couple of questions and let's start with erosion. Ms. Dimock underwent surgical procedures to remove eroded mesh on

more than one occasion, correct?

A. That's correct.

Q. And if my notes are correct, she underwent that in four instances?

A. Yes.

Q. And as far as you know, there have been no other instances where she has undergone any kind of excision or surgical procedure for erosion of the mesh since 2014, correct?

A. She did not describe it to me, and I didn't see anything in her records to indicate that.

Q. All right. In some of the procedures where she underwent excision of the mesh, also involved lysing adhesions or releasing the mesh arms, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. Now, with respect to erosion, is it your opinion that every erosion of mesh constitutes a serious complication?

A. In our literature there is an ongoing discussion about the proper term to use to describe, Is it mesh exposure? Is it something eroded or not? So in the case of mesh exposure, what may happen, as I understand it, is the patient herself may see or feel the mesh outside the vaginal incision in the canal, or

the doctor may see it, and then they respond to topical estrogen treatment. They may respond to observation. It may respond to excising a small amount of mesh in the examination room in the office, for example.

Erosion, in my mind, usually means something that occurs at a later time away from the index surgery, frequently involves bleeding, spotting, discharge, could involve pain for the sexual partner, for example, and it could involve pain for the patient.

Erosions possibly could respond to topical estrogen. Depending on the surface area of the erosion and a variety of other things, that may be a reasonable thing to offer a patient. And then if they respond well to that, that may be the end of it, but in the case where that doesn't, the patient doesn't respond, then excision of the mesh is the only other therapeutic option.

Some doctors advocate removing only the part of the mesh that's visible and closing the skin edges over it. There are a few surgeons who recommend attempting to remove all of the mesh, which has been implanted. Most surgeons feel that trying to remove all of the mesh, particularly when mesh arms have been deployed, has a potential to create its own set of

complications.

Q. And, Doctor, I appreciate that. I think we're getting a little off topic, and I only have a limited amount of time, so the question was whether you consider the mesh exposure here for Ms. Dimock as you've described in your report as a serious complication?

A. Well, it's serious enough that when we report on complications following surgery, a complication requires -- something with an operative intervention is a high-level complication.

There are scales for complications, the Dindo scale, for example. So some things require observation, some require medicine, some require something, but surgery, surgery moves up the scale of the significance of a complication.

Q. Right.

A. Because it requires an anesthetic, a recovery, and the whole works.

Q. All right. So in your mind, a serious complication involving exposure would be one that requires surgical intervention, as opposed to topical treatment with estrogen, for example, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. Or what about someone who the mesh exposure is

trimmed in an in-office procedure, would that be a serious complication?

A. That would fall on the lower level of significance.

Q. Okay. Now, with respect to your opinion here, how is it -- in summary form, without going into long detail, you say the Prolift itself caused the exposure. Can you tell me what the basis of that opinion is?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is that?

A. She has a foreign body implanted in the vagina that has a significant surface area. The product itself and the package has a significant surface area.

In the case of Mrs. Dimock, she had an interval after her surgery that could have been 18 months or 20 months where the mesh was not exposed, and then her complaints with pain increased. She had evidence of the exposure, and what I think most likely happened is the mesh product itself became shorter, either through scar contracture or contracture of the mesh product, and as it became shorter, there are fixed arms of the mesh that have gone through the muscles, nerves, and tissue in the vaginal canal, and those arms rarely move in terms of becoming looser. So the arms became

tighter in much the way this becomes tight, and when the arms became tight, the central portion also became taught, interfered with blood supply to the skin, erodes through the skin, and then the skin is not able to heal over that. And the treatment and surgery for skin drainage, skin erosion, infection, and there is a foreign body, the treatment is to remove the foreign body, and in this case, the Prolift is the foreign body.

Q. Right. And we're talking about erosion, so as I understand your -- the basis for your opinion is that the Prolift product, either through scar tissue or because of the product itself, becomes more taught, closer to the surface, and then eventually the mesh then goes through the skin surface and is exposed; is that what I heard you say?

A. Yes, you did.

Q. All right. So did you take into consideration at all the -- this patient's characteristics in your opinion that it was the Prolift that caused the erosion?

A. Do you have a specific question about the patient characteristics?

Q. Sure. She's a smoker, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And she's been a smoker for 40 years, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. What does smoking do to tissue?

A. Smoking affects estrogen metabolism. So the way smoking affects tissue in the vaginal canal is when women, primarily, make estrogen and it's metabolized in the body and they don't smoke, the estrogen metabolize to something that may have a significant amount of biological activity.

Smoking changes the metabolic pathway so that the estrogen metabolism pathway then results in an estrogen that is less biologically active. So if you see someone, for example, a woman, particularly who is a chronic smoker, frequently her skin will show that, the skin in her face. But the skin in the vaginal canal will more likely have reduced blood supply and be thin and dry.

Q. And so could her vaginal tissue be a contributing factor to the mesh erosion in her case?

A. It's possible.

Q. All right. How about the fact that Ms. Dimock engaged in self-examinations of her vaginal canal? Did you see that in the medical records?

A. I did.

Q. Could self-examination have contributed to any

mesh erosion?

A. I think that would be extremely unlikely. It would be less likely, for example, in a woman who is having intravaginal intercourse or a woman who wears a tampon or a woman who has a speculum exam, so I think that would be a highly unlikely scenario unless you're dealing with someone who does self-mutilation. There is no evidence she does that.

Q. The erosion is a potential adverse consequence of using mesh, is it not?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. And that's something that Ethicon warned about it its IFU?

A. In the most general terms, not in very specific terms.

Q. Well, it did identify that as a potential consequence that might result in further surgical procedures, did it not?

MR. CANNON: I'll just object; argumentative.

A. Without quantifying when and how many times.

Q. And did you read Dr. Housel's deposition?

A. I did.

Q. And he was aware that erosion was a potential consequence, correct?

A. I think everyone knows superficially that it's possible. What people -- including, I would say, the majority of doctors and probably all patients. They don't understand that that event could occur at any time in the future and may or may not require multiple interventions to resolve.

Q. And when you say that, have you done a study that forms the basis of that opinion?

A. No. I've read literature that would form the basis.

Q. Okay. And what literature are you relying on for that?

A. The literature -- well, part of it comes from the University of Utah, for example, in evaluating women who were referred for mesh exposure and mesh complications, and on average, women required at least two and frequently more procedures to resolve the current issue. And the potential concern about that is you don't know in the future how many of those people are going to come back again, so that's a snapshot in time.

There's a report from the Cleveland Clinic, Mayo Clinic, University of Michigan, so there are multiple reports on the requirement for requiring more

than one intervention to resolve these issues.

Q. And intervention, are you referring to specifically a surgical intervention --

A. Yes.

Q. -- as opposed to an in-office procedure or topical treatment?

A. It could be any or all of those, but specifically surgery.

Q. All right.

A. But it could include other things also.

Q. Doctor, with respect to your opinion regarding the chronic pelvic pain that you -- that Ms. Dimock claims to experience, your opinion is that the chronic pelvic pain was caused by the Prolift, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And what is the cause of that pain? What is the mechanism as you understand it?

A. Okay. In her particular circumstance, what I believe transpired with her, she had the product placed. I've already indicated to you the trocar passage in and of itself is a traumatic event. Surgery is traumatic. So trocar passage is traumatic, and she may have had some of her earlier complaints related to multiple trocar passages with an expectation that's reasonable

that that's going to improve.

The fact is she acquired a set of complaints which haven't improved, despite several different attempts at therapy. And I believe the pathophysiology of what's happened is the mesh was put in place, may have been placed with relatively little tension, for example, and may not have bothered her for 18 months, particularly. I mean, it may have had some low level concern, but didn't come to her attention.

But as time goes on, the mesh contracts. There's degradation of the mesh. There's a chronic inflammatory response. There are nerves that grow into the mesh product. Those nerves can be sensory nerves, carrying pain, and they -- the product goes through muscle. It goes through the skin of the -- through the skin outside the pelvis and it goes underneath the vaginal skin, so there are any number of places where nerves could be entrapped and chronically irritated.

Q. Well, let me ask you this: Doctor, is the cause of the pain, you know, as you say nerves are entrapped, you said the mesh contracts, is it the mesh that contracts, or is it the tissue around the mesh that contracts?

A. Well, there is evidence to show the mesh

itself contracts. There is literature to demonstrate that, but it could be a combination of scar tissue and mesh. But the fact is it's highly unlikely to see someone who doesn't have mesh products put in place to have these ongoing chronic pain problems that require multiple surgical interventions. That's a --

Q. Well, let me ask you this. You attribute all of her chronic pelvic pain issues to the Prolift product, correct?

A. I think that's likely, yes.

Q. Okay. Did you -- when you were considering whether it was due to the Prolift product, did you take into consideration other procedures she had had?

A. Such as?

Q. The hysterectomy.

A. I did, but there is no reason to think that would be related to her current complaints.

Q. Well, I mean, you said any surgery is traumatic, and there could be a development of scar tissue relative to a hysterectomy; is that true?

A. Not the complaints she has.

Q. Okay. How about the -- you're aware that she had a wedge resection in 1972, correct?

A. Of her ovaries?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. And, in fact, those procedures were discontinued because of the high rate of adhesions that occurred afterwards; is that right?

A. Related to fertility, not pain.

Q. Well, as a matter of fact, Ms. Dimock here, did she not make complaints about ovarian pain in her pelvis as part of the procedure when she was seeing Dr. Norton?

A. She may have said it seems similar to ovarian pain.

Q. And so can you eliminate the fact that there may have been some adhesional -- adhesions relative to the wedge resection, and that was causing some of the pelvic pain?

A. Well, the only way to be certain that someone has adhesions in the abdomen at all, whether they have pain or not, the only way to be certain of that is to look surgically, and no one looked in her abdomen surgically to see.

Q. Right. And I'm just trying -- I mean, you have come to the conclusion that all of her chronic pelvic pain is due to the fact that she had the Prolift

device and I wanted to understand whether you considered other alternatives?

A. Oh, yes, I did. But let's just use that specific example. So 40 years ago she had wedge resection of her ovaries and didn't have the current complaints she has now. In 2008, she had the Prolift implanted and she's acquired these complaints. So just thinking about it logically, why would she have had a surgery 40 years ago that didn't bother her until she had the Prolift put in?

Q. And so she had an additional surgical procedure that could, in fact, have affected the adhesions from the wedge resection possibly? Yes?

A. That would be highly unlikely because the surgery that she had done in 2008 did not involve entering the abdominal cavity.

In Dr. Housel's note where you asked me about the enterocele, he specifically didn't enter the abdominal cavity, so if she had adhesions following the wedge resection, which it's possible she had them, they're inside the abdomen. That would be similar in this case to the four of us who are in this room and there is somebody out there. So could she have adhesions out there, but we're talking about everybody

in here? That's conceivable, but that's not a logical conclusion to draw.

Q. Are you making a distinction between the pelvis and the abdomen in terms of your --

A. In terms of --

Q. You said the adhesions relative to the wedge resection would appear in her abdomen --

A. Yes.

Q. -- as opposed to her pelvis?

A. That's correct.

Q. Okay.

A. Through the abdominal cavity.

Q. All right.

A. No. That is correct. It would be what is called "intraperitoneal."

Q. All right.

A. Now, does the peritoneal cavity go down into the pelvis? It does, but those adhesions would be inside the peritoneal cavity, and none of the procedures she had done entered the peritoneal cavity, so it would be -- I guess anything is possible -- that would be so unlikely that there'd be something inside her abdomen which is exaggerated by what was done here, unless a trocar was passed inadvertently into her abdomen and

injured her bowel. Could that happen? It could, and if that were to happen, the patient would be sick.

Q. All right. You didn't see evidence of that here?

A. No. She didn't --

Q. Let me --

A. She didn't report any of it. She would have been sick, sick, sick if that happened.

Q. Let me ask you this just very briefly.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. She underwent a wedge resection, correct?

A. That's -- yes.

Q. And a hysterectomy, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And an appendectomy, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And she's also complained of pelvic plain issues related to GI issues, correct?

A. Right.

Q. And she's been diagnosed with diverticulitis?

A. In 2013.

Q. Okay.

A. Not in 2008 or '09 or '10.

Q. Well, and she's previously had abdominal pain

for which she has undergone examination and treatment in the past, prior to 2008, correct?

A. She has, that's accurate.

Q. Yes. A left lower quadrant pain, some right lower quadrant pain; is that right?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. And Dr. Housel's procedure, was there any potential by virtue of the fact that he engaged in surgery that there may have been a potential for scarring?

A. From the pelvic reconstructive surgery, you mean, in the vaginal canal?

Q. Other than the Prolift, he also performed -- it's a little questionable in your mind as to whether he performed the ligament suspension, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right.

A. And in general, if someone does sacrospinous ligament suspension, in general, that is done on either the left or the right side. Since his note doesn't describe what he did anyway, we don't know if he did left side, right side, or both sides, so --

Q. But can you eliminate that procedure as a possible source of any pelvic pain she might have?

A. Well, the natural history of pain following sacrospinous ligament suspension is when that operation is performed in America, it normally is performed on the patient's right side usually. It could be the left, but usually the right, and of the women who have sacrospinous ligament suspension, what may happen is in the early recovery and for the first eight or 10 weeks after surgery, maybe one out of 10 or one out of eight patients may say they hurt some on the side of the suspension.

The natural history is that pain goes away. So there aren't any syndromes described that I'm familiar with that says a person who has sacrospinous ligament suspension and then 18 months, 24 months, some time in the future, they acquire these unremitting pain complaints.

Q. Can you eliminate that, then, as a source of her pain complaints?

A. I wouldn't have considered it as the source of chronic pain complaints, and I don't think anyone who is knowledgeable about the procedure would.

Q. How about the plication procedure that he engaged in with respect to the enterocele?

A. I think that --

Q. Can you eliminate that as a possible source of pelvic pain?

A. That is so highly unlikely it would like being hit by lightning.

Q. Can you eliminate it as a possibility?

A. I would.

Q. Okay. Can you eliminate any patient characteristics involving Ms. Dimock as a factor in her chronic pain?

MR. CANNON: I'll just object; ambiguous.

A. Specifically?

Q. Sure. Dr. Norton refers to Ms. Dimock's patient characteristics specifically about the fact that she has unusual scarring. Do you remember seeing that?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And do you agree with Dr. Norton's statement in the medical records that some patients are not as suited as others for use of the Prolift device?

A. I think that's probably true. It may be no one is suited for it. How's that?

Q. Well, she also said that some people have successfully undergone surgery using the device and others have not. Do you agree with that?

A. I --

MR. CANNON: Well, I just -- if we've got the record, I think that's the best thing to look at.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Sure.

A. Do I agree that some people have had the procedure and they haven't presented with complaints? I feel sure that's the case.

Q. Okay.

A. Now, what I don't know is what's going to happen to those women in the future, and no one knows the answer to that.

Q. Well, that's true with surgery as well with using native tissue, is it not?

A. It's much less likely true, because we have a hundred-year history of native tissue, 150 since anesthesia, and we have a -- in this particular case, we have a 10-year history, so they aren't the same.

Q. Doctor, would you agree with me that Ethicon warned about adhesion formation and contracture in its IFU?

A. I understand from reading the IFU that in very general terms there was a laundry list of things that were listed.

Q. The question was --

A. Yes.

Q. Yes. Okay. Thank you. So let me make sure that I understand the basis for your opinion with respect to her pelvic pain. Doctor, it's your opinion that her chronic pelvic pain, which she claims to currently experience, is the result of a combination of the contraction of the mesh and contraction of scar tissue; is that right?

A. I'm sure they're associated with one other.

Q. Okay.

A. That the mesh incites inflammation, incites scar tissue, the mesh contracts, the wound contracts, nerves are irritated, the vascular supply is modified. They're all -- yes, they all go together.

Q. Okay.

A. Incited by having a foreign body in the pelvis.

Q. Well, as a matter of fact, any time you put a foreign body into a human, there is some kind of foreign body reaction, is there not?

A. There could be. This one happens to be one with a great surface area and then placed in a contaminated part of your body, which flies in the face of all surgical principles.

Q. Doctor, in your -- and you may be asked this in a more general way, are you relying on some particular literature that -- for the opinion that there's a greater chance of inflammation and chronic inflammation by virtue of the fact that this is placed in the contaminated area of the body?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And what's that literature?

A. Well, it's all -- it's the history of medicine and surgery that placing a foreign body in a contaminated field is poor surgical judgment.

Now, do we do that sometimes? When we operate through the vaginal canal, yes, because the vaginal canal is never sterile. So when we operate through the vaginal canal and we use whatever procedures we use, suture material, let's say, we're putting it into a contaminated field. What happens, then, part of the time and in many cases, the suture material dissolves, so in a period of, let's say, 90 days or less, for all practical purposes, that suture material has been metabolized and is gone.

Sometimes -- and I do this -- I may use nonabsorbable sutures, pieces of suture, to accomplish part of the surgery, and occasionally some of those

sutures will be exposed in the vaginal canal, and I can see them and I can remove them in the office or I may treat someone to estrogen hormone.

The thing that is different here is there is a significant surface area of material that is not only placed in the vaginal canal, but by virtue of using the trocars, that same material is brought out through multiple tissue layers in the bony and muscular pelvis. So the product, then, is exposed to all of this contamination in the vagina, in the muscles of the pelvis, it just is. That's what happens.

Q. Well, Doctor, is there a difference between infection and inflammation?

A. Inflammation --

Q. Is there a difference?

A. Yeah, there could be, yes.

Q. Okay. And are you talking with contamination and bacteria, are you talking about infection or inflammation?

A. Well, I'm talking about both actually because --

Q. Do we have any evidence here that Ms. Dimock suffered from a chronic infection as a result of having the Prolift?

A. Well, you -- in the path reports are the foreign-body giant cells, so you see chronic inflammation which leads to pain, for example.

Q. Let me ask you the question, though. Is there any evidence of any kind of chronic infection with Ms. Dimock that you've been able to identify?

A. Well, let me look at the path reports. I'm going to see exactly what they said. I'll read what the pathologist said. Did she have -- to answer your question: Did she have an abscess in the pelvis? Did she require hospitalization for treatment of high temperature elevated white blood count? No, she didn't.

Q. So you indicate that the path reports show --

A. No. I'm going to read the path report here.

Q. I'm sorry.

A. If I find it.

Q. I thought you were answering my question about infection.

A. Well, I am. I just wanted to find the path report and see what the pathologist said.

Q. Okay.

A. Okay. This is from February 2010. The pathologist says, "Foreign material with adherent benign squamous mucosa exhibiting chronic inflammation

and foreign-body giant cell reaction."

Q. That doesn't mean infection, correct?

A. It means inflammation.

Q. Right. And inflammation, with any foreign body, you would expect some of that reaction, would you not?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. And that would be why you would take the foreign body out. So if you stuck a splinter in your finger, you wouldn't leave it there.

Q. So if I had a heart valve and there is inflammation around the heart valve, you'd take it out?

A. That's different. But the answer may be yes, but the difference is the heart valve is placed in a noncontaminated area of the body. These meshes are placed in a contaminated part of the body.

Q. And contamination, in your definition, that's bacterial contamination, correct?

A. In the vaginal canal, yes.

Q. All right. That's all.

A. The path report from October 2010, chronic inflammation.

Q. Again, no sign of infection, correct?

A. Not on those two. I will see if I can find the rest of them. The path report from 2014, the pathologist did not see mesh on that one. I think we knew that -- you knew that already.

Q. Right.

A. And then there is one other path report that I don't have in front of me so -- but I have those three, two showed chronic inflammation and one showed just scar tissue.

Q. And none of them showed any kind of infection, correct?

A. Not acute infection.

Q. All right. Doctor, do you remember seeing a reference in the medical records to the fact that Ms. Dimock was noticing -- let me see if I can get it correct -- staples in her pelvis?

A. She may have described that.

Q. And Dr. Norton talked to her about that, correct?

A. Uh-huh. But there weren't any staples in her pelvis, not in her vagina. People use different terms to describe things, so patients by and large --

Q. That's okay. You don't have to go on. I was just asking the question. There is a reference in the

records to a permanent suture from the Bartholin gland repair, though, correct?

A. Right.

Q. All right. Doctor, are you claiming that pain Ms. Dimock expresses relative to her levator ani muscles is related to the Prolift device?

A. Yes.

Q. And how is it related?

A. Well, chronic inflammation, irritation incites a reaction in the tissues, and those trocars go through muscle. That's what they do. They go through levator muscles. That's what they're designed to do, and then they deploy mesh in there, and then the muscles heal the tract. The mesh gets smaller. It gets inflamed. The muscles contract. There are nerve fibers.

This isn't a giant leap to presume that if I stuck something as big as a pencil in you six times and put a product and pull it out six times that it's going to hurt somewhere.

Q. And so it's your opinion that any pain that she has experienced in her levator ani muscles is directly the result of having had the trocar passed through those muscles?

A. And deploy the mesh. It's both of those.

It's not one or the other.

Q. And have you --

A. It's deploying that mesh through those muscles.

Q. Okay.

A. And the trocar is the way to do it.

Q. And have you eliminated Ms. -- Ms. Dimock underwent physical therapy for her levator ani muscles, correct?

A. Yes, she did.

Q. And in fact she improved, did she not?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. And have you eliminated her own anxiety and her -- well, as a possible reason for the muscle issues relating to her levator ani pain?

A. What I would -- my interpretation of that is that she acquired the complaints with pain and whatever anxiety, if any, she had before would have been exaggerated by virtue of the fact that it has now been almost eight years since she had surgery and six years since her first explant. So it's a reasonable thing to acquire complaints with anxiety if you hurt all the time. So do I think preexisting anxiety made her hurt? No. Do I think she's anxious? I probably

would be too if I had six years of hurting.

Q. Well, in connection with your opinions in this case, did you take into consideration any of the personality characteristics of Ms. Dimock relative to anxiety or PTSD or any other psychological condition she's been diagnosed with?

A. I'm cognizant of it. PTSD has nothing in the to world to do with the exposed mesh that she has had removed. The operations --

Q. How about the anxiety and the pain?

A. Well, it may have something to do with being anxious. I don't doubt that. I mean, that's a disorder which I'm not personally familiar with, but it isn't shocking that someone with PTSD would be anxious.

Q. Did you take into consideration notes in her file relative to Ms. -- assessment of Ms. Dimock that she needs to be dramatic in order to focus?

A. I asked her about that.

Q. And what did she say? She denied it?

A. No. She didn't deny it. Some people are.

Q. Okay. And do you think --

A. Some people are dramatic.

Q. And do you think some people --

A. That doesn't mean that they're going to have

mesh exposed in their vagina. Some people don't say anything.

Q. I'm not asking about mesh exposure. We're talking about the pelvic pain and the vaginal pain.

A. Right.

Q. Do you think that sometimes the issues with respect to anxiety or being dramatic may increase issues with respect to pain in any particular patient?

A. Is it possible? If she had no surgery, if she had no product implanted, and she walked in off the street and said that "I hurt," and I found nothing in her surgical history, nothing on physical exam, nothing in her medical history, and she said, "You know, I'm a pretty dramatic person and I need to do that to get attention," but nothing had ever happened to her before, then I would be thinking, well, maybe that's an attention-getting device. I don't think that in this woman. That's not the case with her.

She specifically had something done that required deployment of these products in her vagina and whether she had PTSD or any other thing is unrelated to putting a product in her, unless someone knows that people with PTSD shouldn't have these procedures done.

Q. Can those diagnoses in any way -- forget the

product --

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Can they be in any way related to the complaints of pain?

A. I suspect without knowing it for a fact that if you or I had PTSD and we were responding to anything under the sun, a nightmare, a dream, a loud noise, it would be different than someone who doesn't have it. So I'm sure it affects how people respond to things.

Q. And, now, are you aware that Ms. -- Ms. Dimock told you she had not had sex since before the implant, correct?

A. For one year, before.

Q. Right. Did you ask her why there were notations in her medical records where she indicated that she was having dyspareunia?

A. No. I think that wouldn't be her responsibility. That would have been the person documenting the record's responsibility for entering that, because she was very clear to me and I suspect to everybody else that she didn't have a sexual partner.

Q. So Dr. Norton would be wrong if Dr. Norton noted that she was experiencing dyspareunia?

A. What the patient could have said --

Q. Well, you know, the question is whether Dr. Norton was wrong.

A. Well, if you don't have --

MR. CANNON: I'll just object. I think we're getting argumentative.

A. Well, what I'll say is I don't know about Dr. Norton's being wrong. What I would say is dyspareunia is pain with intercourse.

Q. Okay. How about when Dr. Sharp, did you see the record where he said that Ms. Dimock reported to him that she was having pain with sexual intercourse?

A. Well --

Q. Would that have been an incorrect record as far as you know?

A. Well, what she told me was she hadn't had intercourse since the year before.

Q. Okay.

A. I wasn't there when she gave a history to Drs. Norton and Sharp, so God only knows what she told them.

Q. Did you ask her about whether -- I guess you did ask her, and she said she had not had intercourse, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right.

A. And I didn't -- the time I saw her, I hadn't reviewed all of those records.

Q. Okay.

A. So that would have required me getting on the phone and saying, "You know, I saw you in November. When did you tell them?" I didn't -- she didn't give me that history.

Q. All right. So at the time that you examined her, you had not reviewed any records where there had been a report of dyspareunia; is that what you're saying?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. Ms. Dimock has had some issues with constipation and bowel movements, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you eliminate those issues as a possible source of any pelvic pain in connection with your opinion that the pain she experiences is strictly related to the Prolift device?

A. Well, could she have pain with the constipation? She could. Would she have chronic pain with the physical findings that she has and the history she has and constipation be the primary cause of her

complaint? And the answer is no.

Q. Now, Doctor, you are of the opinion that Ms. Dimock would not have experienced the issues she did, specifically mesh erosion and chronic pain, had she had a native tissue repair; is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. All right. So with respect to mesh erosion, that's because in a native tissue repair, there is no mesh used, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. Do you have an opinion as to whether -- are you going to express an opinion as to whether a native tissue repair in the case of Ms. Dimock would have been more effective?

A. The information that we have about native tissue repairs -- I'll use rectocele. So the information we have about native tissue repair for rectocele, depending on the patient's complaints in advance, the patient could have complaints of a bulge, they could have complaints of inability to empty the distal rectum, they could have complaints of discomfort with intercourse, or they could have no complaints, falls in those four categories.

With native tissue surgery, what we know is

seven out of 10 women will have improvement in their bowel function, and nine out of 10 will have reduction of the bulge, not a hundred percent in either one. We know that. We know that some women may have postoperative pain complaints. It is highly unlikely they would be chronic.

Q. Okay.

A. What we know about mesh products and the posterior vaginal canal for rectocele, there are no reports that indicate improved outcomes in rectocele repair with mesh. None.

Q. I understand that. The question I had is: Are you going to issue or render an opinion or testify at trial that, in fact, a native tissue repair in the case of Ms. Dimock would have been more effective than the use of mesh in this particular patient?

A. Well, what do you mean by more effective?

Q. That there would have been -- the chance of a recurrence would have been -- is higher and was higher, and that if she had had a native tissue repair, the chance of a recurrence would have been lower. Can you say that with any definitive --

A. What I can say in her particular circumstance if she had a native tissue repair, she wouldn't have had

these four extra surgeries.

Q. I understand the four extra surgeries have to do with the mesh.

A. Right.

Q. Lysing the mesh arms and the erosion. But the question is efficacy. Would there have been a difference? And you can just say to me, "I'm not going to say that I have an opinion one way or the other as to whether a native tissue repair would have been more effective." I don't care. I just want to make sure I understand what you're going to say.

A. What I'm going to say is there is no literature that documents that using a mesh product is better, is more effective. So if there is nothing to say that it's more effective, then what you're left is are they equal, or is one inferior to the other? So could a mesh product be equal in resolving the anatomic abnormality, the bulge?

Q. Right.

A. They could be equal. One is not better than the other.

Q. All right.

A. But the issue, if that's all you look at, then you could say the anatomic outcomes may be similar, but

that isn't all we look at.

Q. I understand that, but that was my question. So now we'll get to the second question, which is your opinion is had she had a native tissue repair, being an anterior or posterior colporrhaphy --

A. Right.

Q. -- your opinion is that would have been a safer procedure, because there would be fewer complications, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. Now, I want to make sure that I understand, though. With any surgery, with a native tissue repair, there is a chance or there is a possibility that there can be pelvic pain, correct?

A. What I would say is perfect doesn't exist.

Q. Right. There is no surgical procedure that's perfect; is that right?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. And with an anterior or posterior colporrhaphy, if there were existing adhesions from some other procedure, that wouldn't make a difference in terms of Ms. Dimock's outcome; is that right?

A. Well, I'm going to be a little bit more specific. There could be findings in the vaginal canal,

and that would be very specific to a woman who has had radiation therapy in the vagina. So for some diseases of the pelvis, cancer of the cervix or cancer of the lining of the uterus, some women are treated with radiation in the vagina causing scarring, and there could be problems with vaginal surgery associated with that.

When the adhesions are inside the abdomen, which would happen after abdominal hysterectomy or ovary surgery or whatnot, when adhesions are inside the abdomen and the surgery is done through the vaginal canal without entering the abdomen, there shouldn't be anything done vaginally that would be an intra-abdominal problem.

Q. And to go back -- strike that.

MR. CANNON: Let's go off the record for a second.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:55.

(Recess was taken from 11:55 a.m. until 12:02 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is 12:02.

BY MS. VAN STEENBURGH:

Q. Dr. Shull, a couple of follow-up questions.

One, I believe that you testified that they're -- with respect to Ms. Dimock, either the mesh itself contracting or the scar tissue formed around the mesh contracting is a source of Ms. Dimock's chronic pelvic pain, correct?

A. That's accurate.

Q. All right. And have you looked at literature regarding scarring in connection with the Prolift device?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. Is there anything different reported in the literature than what you see here with respect to Ms. Dimock?

A. You mean on her clinical exam?

Q. Right. Either on the clinical exam when you did it or anything in her medical records that would indicate the scarring or adhesions in her particular case are different from what you have seen in the literature relative to the Prolift device?

A. My impression is her history is compatible with the chronology of what can happen with patients is that they can have an interval where they're relatively pain free followed by an interval of progressive chronic pain because of these changes in the mesh, the

shrinkage, the contraction, the degradation, the chronic inflammation, so I think her history actually fits what some people are -- in fact do experience.

Q. How about the type of scarring here in this particular situation described by Dr. Norton, is that different or is that consistent with what you have seen reported in the literature as to scarring that occurs with a Prolift device?

A. It's similar to what I've read about and it's similar to what I have seen personally in revising mesh in patients who have required it.

Q. Is it different in some way? You say it's similar, but is there a difference in some way?

A. Well, I think every patient is different, so the surgical dissection by her description is tedious, difficult in developing tissue planes between the bladder, the bowel, the vaginal skin, difficulty in exposing the mesh through the scar, so those things are all --

Q. So does it sound like it's --

A. -- characteristics I've observed when I've done mesh explantation myself, and there are things that are described in the literature that the surgery is technically difficult to do. And that's exactly what

Dr. Norton's note describes. She describes banding, bunching, tissue being taught, difficulty in developing tissue planes, so those are all various characteristics.

Q. There is a reference in Dr. Norton's notes to the scarring in this particular case with Ms. Dimock being unusual based upon Dr. Norton's experience. Did you note that?

A. Well, I think she probably means it's unusually tedious to take care of it is what she --

Q. Well, you don't know what she means, correct?

A. No. I would think she means it's unusually difficult to do.

Q. Well, in terms of if we are describing the adhesions themselves, would you agree or disagree that they are unusual based upon what is generally reported in the literature?

MR. CANNON: I'll object; speculation.

A. I don't think I can say that I know that.

Q. Okay. And when you say that it's consist with what you have experienced or what you've seen in the literature, you're talking about the difficulty of removing the mesh?

A. That's accurate. Identifying it, dissecting it out, and protecting the surrounding structures so you

don't injure the bowel, the bladder, the urethra, the vaginal skin.

Q. Okay. Are you're not talking about the actual adhesion constitution itself; is that right?

A. That's correct.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Let's just go off the record for a second. I want to ask Doug something.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 12:07.

(Recess was taken from 12:07 p.m. until 12:09 p.m.)

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The time is 12:09.

BY MS. VAN STEENBURGH:

Q. Doctor, other than the opinions expressed in your expert witness report and those that you have described today, are there any other opinions that you intend to give at the trial of this matter of Ms. Dimock?

A. I'm not aware of it.

Q. All right. And have you been asked to supplement your report at all?

A. No.

MS. VAN STEENBURGH: Okay. And to the extent you do, we will ask for a further deposition on

that. That's all I have. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the deposition of Dr. Shull in the Dimock case. Going off the record. The time is 12:09.

(The digital recording ended at 12:09 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Danielle C. Coleman, Stenographic Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did transcribe the foregoing proceedings from digital recording, and that the transcript, pages 1 through 98, is a true and correct record.

Dated this 15th day of March, 2016.

_____

Danielle C. Coleman

Stenographic Shorthand Reporter